

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Preface by the Co-Editors</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Foreword by Susan E. Brandon.....</i>	<i>v</i>
Evidence-Based Approaches to Investigative Thinking.....	1
<i>By Tom Willems, Carsten Stahn, Darren Frey and Antonio Angotti</i>	
1. The Meaning and Implementation of Quality in Investigations.....	1
2. Outline of the Book.....	4
1. Investigating Allegations of Fraud and Corruption Affecting the European Union's Budget: A Classic Investigative Process?.....	13
<i>By Ivar Fahsing, Andon Tashukov and Anton Penneman</i>	
1.1. Introduction.....	13
1.2. Investigating: Between Models and Mind	13
1.3. The Objectives of Investigation	15
1.3.1. Gathering Potential Evidence	15
1.3.2. Fact-Finding.....	16
1.3.3. Cross-Checking.....	17
1.3.4. Identifying Responsible Parties	17
1.3.5. Providing 'Closure'	17
1.3.6. Remedial Action	18
1.3.7. Training and Education.....	18
1.4. A Hypotheses-Informed Model for Investigation	19
1.4.1. The 'ABC' of Investigations.....	19
1.4.2. The Information Gap	19
1.4.3. Hypothesis-Testing and Falsification.....	21
1.4.4. The '6 Cs' Model	22
1.4.5. Conditions and Advantages of a Hypotheses-Driven Investigation	24
1.5. The Investigative Process in PIF Investigations	25
1.5.1. General Setting	25
1.5.2. Typology of the Authorities Involved in the Investigative Process.....	26
1.5.3. The Different Steps of a PIF Investigation	29
1.5.4. Goals of the PIF Investigation	30
1.5.5. The Distinctive Nature of PIF Investigations	31

1.5.6. Specific Knowledge and Skillsets.....	32
1.6. Concluding Remarks	32
2. The Investigative Mindset	35
<i>By Tom Willems, Milanka Jug and Marian-Vladut Cozma</i>	
2.1. Introduction	35
2.2. Thinking	35
2.3. Thinking During Investigations	37
2.4. In Search of the Right Investigative Mindset.....	38
2.4.1. The Challenges.....	38
2.4.2. ‘Open’ Thinking	39
2.4.3. Any Role for Intuition?	40
2.4.4. Critical Thinking.....	42
2.4.5. Challenges for Open and Critical Thinking	43
2.4.6. Strategic Thinking.....	44
2.4.7. Evidence-Based Thinking	45
2.5. Concluding Remarks	46
3. ‘Noise’ in Investigations.....	49
<i>By Darren Frey, Charidimos Chaloftis and Tom Willems</i>	
3.1. Introduction	49
3.2. Noise	50
3.2.1. Description.....	50
3.2.2. Different Kinds of ‘Noise’	51
3.2.3. The Impact of Noise.....	54
3.3. Remedies	55
3.3.1. Reducing Noise at the Individual Level.....	55
3.3.2. Reducing Noise at the Organizational Level	57
3.4. Concluding Remarks	64
4. Beating Biases: An Investigator’s Mirage?	67
<i>By Darren Frey, Tom Willems and Milanka Jug</i>	
4.1. Introduction	67
4.2. Dual Processing.....	67
4.2.1. The Duality of Mind	67
4.2.2. System 1	68
4.2.3. System 2.....	71
4.2.4. Efficiency	72
4.3. Cognitive Biases.....	73
4.4. Heuristics and Cognitive Biases in Legal Decision-Making.....	76
4.5. Heuristics and Cognitive Biases in Investigations	77

4.5.1. Occurrence	77
4.5.2. Evaluation	78
4.5.3. Risk Factors	79
4.5.4. Debiasing	83
4.5.5. Salvation from Artificial Intelligence?	84
4.6. Confirmation Bias	85
4.6.1. Description	85
4.6.2. Explanations of Confirmation Bias	86
4.6.3. Risks of Confirmation Bias During Investigations	88
4.7. Concluding Remarks	90
5. Initial Reporting	93
<i>By Simon Baechler, Jorick Schreurs and Georgios Kougias</i>	
5.1. Introduction	93
5.2. Initial Reporting	94
5.3. The Legal Framework	95
5.3.1. Actors and Offences	95
5.3.2. Rules on Initial Reporting	96
5.4. Levels of Initial Reporting	99
5.5. To Report or Not to Report	100
5.5.1. A Murky Field	100
5.5.2. Noise in Reporting	101
5.5.3. From Information to Evidence	102
5.5.4. From Error to Fraud	104
5.5.5. Standards for Initial Reporting	107
5.6. Concluding Remarks	110
6. Preliminary Examination of Information	111
<i>By Carsten Stahn, Paolo Proli and Pascal Hollevoet</i>	
6.1. Introduction	111
6.2. The Preliminary Examination	111
6.3. Initial Filtering	113
6.4. Criteria of the Examination	113
6.4.1. Competence	114
6.4.2. Admissibility	115
6.4.3. Opportunity	120
6.5. Powers of Examination	121
6.6. Standard of Proof	122
6.7. Outcome of the Preliminary Examination	124
6.8. The Judgment Processes	126
6.8.1. Challenges	126
6.8.2. Noise	127

6.8.3. Heuristics and Bias	131
6.9. Concluding Remarks	138
7. Investigation Plans.....	141
<i>By Antonio Angotti, Kris Vandenberg and Julius Dirma</i>	
7.1. Introduction	141
7.2. The Investigation Plan.....	141
7.3. Functions of the Investigation Plan	143
7.3.1. Overview	143
7.3.2. Case Assessment	146
7.3.3. Planning	151
7.3.4. Investigation Management.....	156
7.3.5. Reporting and Monitoring.....	158
7.4. Good Practice	159
7.4.1. Authors, Validators and Format	159
7.4.2. Avoiding Inefficiency	161
7.4.3. Preventing Tunnel Vision.....	163
7.4.4. Dynamic Investigation Plans and Updates.....	165
7.4.5. An Integrated and Living Document	167
7.5. Concluding Remarks	168
8. Fact-Finding, at the Core of the Investigation Process.....	171
<i>By Anna Sagana, Judit Tátrai and Olivier Coene</i>	
8.1. Introduction	171
8.2. Fact-Finding	171
8.3. Evidence	173
8.3.1. General Remarks.....	173
8.3.2. Categories of Evidence	175
8.4. Progression of the Investigation	178
8.4.1. The Different Stages of the Investigation Process	178
8.4.2. The Collection Phase	179
8.4.3. Building of the Case.....	180
8.4.4. Team-Work.....	181
8.4.5. Consultation	181
8.4.6. Quality Concerns	182
8.5. Concluding the Fact-Finding.....	182
8.5.1. Standard of Proof	183
8.5.2. Subsumption	185
8.5.3. Individualization of Guilt.....	186
8.6. Quality Control.....	187
8.6.1. The Concern.....	187
8.6.2. The Mindset	188

8.6.3. Review	188
8.7. Concluding Remarks	194
9. Models of Rational Proof in Investigation	197
<i>By Daniela-Simona Tatu, Jorick Schreurs and Tom Willems</i>	
9.1. Introduction	197
9.2. Basics	197
9.3. Probabilistic Approaches.....	199
9.3.1. Description.....	199
9.3.2. Frequency-Type Probability.....	199
9.3.3. Belief-Type Probability.....	199
9.3.4. Bayesian Networks	201
9.4. Arguments	204
9.4.1. A Description	204
9.4.2. Deductive Arguments.....	205
9.4.3. Inductive Arguments.....	207
9.4.4. Argument Frameworks	209
9.4.5. Strength of Inductive Arguments	211
9.4.6. Harnessing Arguments	212
9.5. Scenario Approaches.....	215
9.5.1. Description.....	215
9.5.2. The Power of Stories.....	216
9.5.3. Combinations	217
9.5.4. Alternative Stories	218
9.5.5. Scenario Qualities	219
9.5.6. Inference to the Best Explanation	220
9.6. The Presentation of Evidence.....	221
9.6.1. ‘Telling or Selling’	221
9.6.2. Expressing Uncertainty.....	222
9.6.3. A Combination.....	223
9.7. Concluding Remarks	225
10. ‘To Intend or Not to Intend?’, That Is the (Difficult) Question.....	227
<i>By Tom Willems, Anna Sagana and Jennifer Vanderputten</i>	
10.1. Introduction	227
10.2. An Understanding of Intent.....	229
10.2.1. General Concept	229
10.2.2. The PIF Directive	230
10.3. Proving Intent.....	232
10.3.1. The Challenge.....	232
10.3.2. Evidence of Intent.....	234
10.3.3. Models and Standards of Proof.....	235

10.4. A Modular Approach for Proving Intent	236
10.4.1. Introduction.....	236
10.4.2. Competing Hypotheses and Falsification	237
10.4.3. Argumentation Schemes	239
10.4.4. Expressing Probability	246
10.4.5. From Best Explanation to Beyond Reasonable Doubt	249
10.5. Concluding Remarks	253
<i>Index</i>	257
<i>TOAEP Team</i>	265
<i>Volumes in TOAEP's Publication Series</i>	267