

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Preface by the Volume Editor</i> .....	<i>i</i>
<i>Foreword by Siri S. Frigaard</i> .....	<i>iii</i>
<i>Foreword by Julija Bogoeva</i> .....	<i>v</i>

## Part I:

### The Relevancy, Context and Nature of Selection and Prioritization Criteria

1. On the Theme of Selection and Prioritization Criteria .....	1
<i>By Morten Bergsmo</i>	
1.1. Many Crimes and Case-Files – Some Common Sense .....	1
1.2. Not a Challenge Unique to Contemporary International Criminal Justice.....	6
1.3. Risks in Case Selection .....	8
1.4. Binding, Judicially-Enforced Criteria? .....	9
1.5. Structure and Contents of the Book .....	10
1.6. Gravity and Representativity – Not ‘Positive Even-Handedness’ .....	20
2. Prioritization of Suspected Conduct and Cases: From Idea to Practice .....	29
<i>By Devasheesh Bais</i>	
2.1. Introduction .....	29
2.2. Background .....	30
2.3. The Idea.....	31
2.3.1. International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia.....	31
2.3.2. Early Efforts at the International Criminal Court .....	34
2.3.3. Bosnia and Herzegovina: The Turning Point.....	37
2.4. The Idea in the Mainstream.....	39
2.4.1. ICC-OTP’s Policy Paper on Case Selection and Prioritisation .....	39
2.4.2. Colombia.....	44
2.4.3. The Democratic Republic of the Congo .....	44
2.4.4. The Central African Republic .....	46

2.4.5. Representativity as a Case Prioritization Criteria: A Missed Opportunity .....	47
2.5. Challenges .....	48
2.6. The Future .....	50
Annex .....	52
3. Criteria for Prosecution of International Crimes: The Importance for States and the International Community of the Quality of the Criminal Justice Process for Atrocities, in Particular of the Exercise of Fundamental Discretion by Key Justice Actors.....	53
<i>By Rolf Einar Fife</i>	
3.1. The Contribution of Legal Informatics to Grapple With Objective Assessments of Complex Patterns .....	54
3.2. Criteria – Conceptual Approaches.....	55
3.3. Criteria in the Context of International Crimes.....	56
4. Requisite Resources and Capacity to Process Backlogs of Core International Crimes Cases.....	59
<i>By Ilia Utmelidze</i>	
4.1. Introduction .....	59
4.2. Obligation to Prosecute .....	60
4.3. Structural Obstacles.....	62
4.4. Backlog of Cases as a Common Phenomenon and the Role of Case Selection and Prioritization Criteria .....	63
4.5. Case Selection and Prioritization Criteria and the Limits of Prosecutorial Discretion .....	65
4.6. Conclusion.....	67
5. On the Nature of Selection and Prioritization Criteria: An Analysis of Select Documents.....	69
<i>By Morten Bergsmo and María Paula Saffon</i>	
5.1. Introduction: The Importance of Case Selection and Prioritization Criteria.....	69
5.2. Bosnia and Herzegovina: Experience in Formulating Case Selection and Prioritization Criteria .....	78
5.2.1. The Orientation Criteria .....	82
5.2.2. Criteria in Annex A of the National War Crimes Prosecution Strategy .....	90
5.2.3. Criteria in the Charging Guidelines of the Prosecutor’s Office of Bosnia and Herzegovina.....	100
5.3. Some Contributions of International Criminal Justice to the Formulation of Selection and Prioritization Criteria .....	117

5.3.1. Criteria in the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia .....	118
5.3.2. Criteria in the International Criminal Court.....	138
5.4. Concluding Remarks .....	157

**Part II:  
Criteria in  
International(ized) Jurisdictions**

6. Case Selection and Prioritization at the International Criminal Court.....	165
<i>By Rod Rastan</i>	
6.1. OTP Strategic Plan .....	168
6.2. Basic Size Report .....	171
6.3. Policy Paper on Case Selection and Prioritisation .....	173
6.3.1. Selection Criteria .....	174
6.3.2. Prioritization Criteria .....	181
6.3.3. Case Selection Document .....	187
6.3.4. Co-operation on Other Cases .....	188
6.4. Situation Completion .....	190
6.5. Policy Renewal .....	193
6.6. Conclusion .....	194
7. The Selection and Prioritization of Cases by the ICC Office of the Prosecutor (2003–2009) .....	197
<i>By Paul Seils</i>	
7.1. Public Statements of Policy by the ICC Office of the Prosecutor.....	197
7.1.1. Key Provisions of the Policy Paper of September 2003 ....	198
7.1.2. Draft Paper of June 2006 .....	198
7.2. The Gravity Criteria Developed.....	200
7.3. The Relevance of Impact .....	202
7.4. Challenges for the ICC Office of the Prosecutor .....	203
7.4.1. Expectations.....	203
7.4.2. Representative Selection and Instrumentalization .....	203
7.5. Conclusion .....	204
8. Case Selection and Prioritization Criteria in the Work of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia .....	207
<i>By Claudia Angermaier</i>	
8.1. Introduction .....	207
8.2. Substantive and Procedural Framework.....	207

8.3. The 1995 Criteria .....	210
8.4. The 1998 Review of Cases.....	213
8.5. The Completion Strategy .....	214
8.6. Rules 11 <i>bis</i> and 28(A).....	218
8.7. Reduction of the Case Load .....	219
8.8. Some Thoughts on Essential Qualities of Prioritization Criteria.....	220
8.8.1. The Purpose of Case Prioritization Criteria .....	220
8.8.2. Essential Qualities of Case Prioritization Criteria .....	221
9. Case Selection and Prioritization Criteria at the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda.....	225
<i>By Alex Obote-Odora</i>	
9.1. Introduction .....	225
9.2. Case Selection Criteria: General Principles .....	231
9.3. Case Selection Criteria: ICTR-Specific .....	234
9.4. Prioritization Criteria: Prosecution of Genocide .....	238
9.5. Conclusion.....	243
10. Prosecution Criteria at the Khmer Rouge Tribunal .....	247
<i>By Anees Ahmed and Margaux Day</i>	
10.1. Introduction .....	247
10.2. Interpretation .....	248
10.3. Who Would Fall Under the Senior Leaders Category? .....	248
10.4. Who Would Fall Under the Most Responsible Category? .....	250
10.5. Practice at the Khmer Rouge Tribunal .....	252
10.5.1. Criteria for Selection of Crimes .....	254
10.5.2. Criteria for Selection of Senior Leaders .....	254
10.5.3. Criteria for Selection of Persons Most Responsible .....	254
10.6. Conclusion.....	254

**Part III:  
Criteria in Domestic Jurisdictions**

11. Applying Selection and Prioritization Criteria to Sex Crimes Cases in the Democratic Republic of the Congo .....	257
<i>By Olympia Bekou</i>	
11.1. Selection and Prioritization .....	258
11.1.1. General Principles for Establishing Prioritization Criteria .....	261
11.1.2. Prioritization Criteria for Core International Crimes.....	262
11.2. Prioritization of Sex Crimes Cases in the Democratic Republic of the Congo .....	265

11.2.1. Nature of the Criminal Acts .....	267
11.2.2. <i>Modus Operandi</i> of the Criminal Conduct .....	268
11.2.3. Location of the Crimes .....	268
11.2.4. ‘Those Most Responsible’: Command and Superior Responsibility .....	268
11.3. Concluding Remarks .....	269
12. Canada’s Approach to File Review in the Context of War Crimes Cases .....	273
<i>By Terry M. Beitner</i>	
12.1. Introduction .....	273
12.2. Canada’s War Crimes Program .....	274
12.3. The File Review Subcommittee .....	275
12.4. File Review Subcommittee Selection Criteria .....	276
12.5. Conclusion .....	279
13. (The Lack of) Criteria for the Selection of Crimes Against Humanity Cases: The Case of Argentina.....	281
<i>By Mirna Goransky and María Luisa Piqué</i>	
13.1. Introduction .....	281
13.2. The Military Dictatorship (1976–1983).....	282
13.3. Transition to Democracy (1983–1985) .....	282
13.4. The Trial Against the Juntas .....	282
13.5. The End of the Human Rights Spring and the Impunity Years (1987–2003) .....	283
13.6. Between 1995 and 2003, a Slow Reopening Began.....	285
13.7. Judicial Activism and the End of a Dark Phase for Justice.....	287
13.8. The New Trials (2003–2008) .....	288
13.9. How Are Judges Selecting Cases That Come to Trial? .....	289
13.10. The Mechanics School of the Navy (ESMA) Case.....	290
13.11. Concluding Remarks.....	291
14. Problematic Selection and Lack of Clear Prioritization: Early Justice and Peace Experience in Colombia .....	293
<i>By María Paula Saffon</i>	
14.1. The Colombian Armed Conflict and the Complexities of Criminal Cases .....	294
14.2. The Legal Framework of the Demobilization Process: Selection as an Impunity Strategy? .....	298
14.3. The Development of the Criminal Processes: Arbitrary Prioritization? .....	301

14.4. The Importance of Clear, Adequately Justified, and Publicly Discussed Prioritization Criteria .....	303
15. Selection and Prioritization in Colombia With Emphasis on the Attorney General’s Office and the Legal Framework for Peace .....	305
<i>By Alejandro Aponte Cardona</i>	
15.1. Introduction .....	305
15.2. Background of the Prioritization Strategy of the Attorney General’s Office .....	306
15.2.1. The Special Process for Justice and Peace.....	306
15.2.2. A Fundamental Fact: The Massive Nature of the Crimes Committed and the Factual Impossibility of the Criminal System to Account for All .....	308
15.2.3. The Prioritization Strategy of the Attorney General’s Office: Change in the Culture of the Investigation of Criminal Organizations.....	309
15.2.4. Year 2012: Adequate Legal and Political Context for Institutional Adaptation to Prioritize.....	310
15.2.5. Some Forms of Selection Prior to the Legal Framework for Peace .....	311
15.3. Prioritization Strategy in Directive No. 001 of 2012 of the Attorney General’s Office .....	312
15.3.1. Central Notions Contained in Directive No. 001 of 2012 .....	312
15.3.2. Operation of the Prioritization Criteria .....	313
15.3.3. Restructuring the Attorney General’s Office: Decree Law 016 of 2014 .....	317
15.3.4. Conceptual Differences Between Case Selection and Prioritization.....	318
15.4. Legal Framework for Peace: New Challenges for Selection and Prioritization Strategies .....	320
15.4.1. Introduction of the Case Selection Mechanism .....	320
15.4.2. Consistent Implementation of the Mechanisms of Selection and Prioritization.....	323
15.4.3. Real Impact of Implementation of the Criterion of Representativeness.....	327
15.5. Perspectives.....	328
16. The Orientation Criteria Document in Bosnia and Herzegovina.....	333
<i>By Zekerija Mujkanović</i>	
16.1. Introduction .....	333
16.2. ‘War Crimes’ .....	334

16.3. What Was Done Prior to the Second Edition .....	335
16.4. Historical Context .....	336
16.5. The Task .....	337
16.6. Deciding Who Will Do What .....	338
17. Criteria for Selection and Prioritization of Core International Crimes in the National War Crimes Strategy of Bosnia and Herzegovina.....	343
<i>By Aida Šušić</i>	
17.1. Introduction.....	343
17.2. The Background.....	347
17.2.1. Post-Conflict Constitutional Realities .....	347
17.2.2. Aspects of the BiH Post-Conflict Transition .....	348
17.2.3. BiH System for the Prosecution and Adjudication of Core International Crimes .....	350
17.3. Criteria in the BiH Criminal Justice System .....	353
17.3.1. Backlog of Core International Crimes Cases in BiH.....	353
17.3.2. Limitations of the BiH Post-Conflict System to Process Core International Crimes Cases .....	355
17.3.3. Ensuring That the Most Suitable Cases Go to Trial First .....	357
17.3.4. Ensuring That the Most Suitable Cases Go to Trial First or Before It Is Too Late .....	358
17.3.5. Case Selection and Prioritization Criteria in BiH Came as a Logical Choice in Light of the ICTY Experience .....	359
17.3.6. The Role of Criteria in the Selection and Prioritization of Core International Crimes in BiH.....	360
17.3.7. Criteria at the International Criminal Tribunal of the Former Yugoslavia and International Criminal Court....	363
17.3.8. Criteria in the BiH Criminal Justice System.....	369
17.4. Requirements for the Criteria's Success in the BiH Post-Conflict Transition .....	379
17.4.1. Periodic Review of War Crimes Cases .....	379
17.4.2. Public Access .....	380
17.4.3. Equal and Transparent Application.....	381
17.4.4. Judicial Review.....	382
17.5. Concluding Remarks.....	383
18. Criteria for Prioritizing and Selecting Core International Crimes Cases: The Situation in Croatia .....	385
<i>By Vesna Terselić</i>	

19. The Strategy for Prosecuting War Crimes in the Early Years of the Serbian Office of the War Crimes Prosecutor .....	391
<i>By Nataša Kandić</i>	
19.1. The <i>Ovčara</i> Case .....	391
19.2. The <i>Zvornik</i> Case .....	393
19.3. The <i>Scorpions</i> Case.....	395
19.4. The <i>Tuzla Column</i> Case .....	395
19.5. The <i>Lekaj</i> Case .....	397
19.6. The <i>Morina</i> Case.....	397
19.7. The <i>Bytyqi</i> Case.....	398
19.8. The <i>Orahovac</i> Case.....	398
19.9. The <i>Rambo</i> Case .....	400
19.10. The <i>Slunj</i> Case.....	400
19.11. The <i>Velika Peratovica</i> Case .....	401
19.12. The <i>Lovas</i> Case .....	401
19.13. The <i>Suva Reka</i> Case .....	402
19.14. The <i>Podujevo</i> Case.....	403
19.15. The <i>Banski Kovačevac</i> Case .....	404
19.16. The <i>Pakšec</i> Case .....	405
19.17. Investigation and Pre-Trial Proceedings .....	405
19.18. Findings.....	405
20. Human Rights Courts in Indonesia: A Brief Outline.....	407
<i>By Fadillah Agus</i>	
20.1. Background .....	407
20.2. Legal Framework .....	408
20.3. Cases .....	409
20.4. Case Selection and Prioritization .....	411

**Part IV:  
Interests and Efficacy**

21. Gravity of Crimes and Responsibility of the Suspect.....	417
<i>By Xabier Agirre Aranburu</i>	
21.1. Introduction: Definition and Elements of a Case .....	417
21.2. The Gravity of the Crimes.....	420
21.2.1. Substantive Gravity of the Offence.....	421
21.2.2. Quantitative Aspects .....	422
21.2.3. Qualitative Aspects .....	428
21.2.4. Gravity and Mode of Responsibility.....	429
21.3. The Level of Responsibility of the Suspect .....	429
21.3.1. Origin and Definition.....	429



21.3.2. Practice .....	434
21.3.3. Analysis of Structures.....	437
21.3.4. Suspect-Driven <i>Versus</i> Offence-Driven Investigations.....	441
21.4. Conclusions.....	442
Appendix 1: DRC Killings, Spread July 2002–September 2006 .....	443
22. Functions of the Gravity Threshold Before the ICC: Releasing the Prosecutor From the Gravity Constraint.....	445
<i>By Megumi Ochi</i>	
22.1. Introduction.....	445
22.2. Different Function Before the Office of the Prosecutor and Chambers.....	446
22.2.1. The Gravity Threshold Stipulated in the Statute and the OTP Regulations .....	446
22.2.2. Earlier Study: Legal and Relative Gravity.....	448
22.2.3. The Dual Function of Gravity: Element and Sieve.....	450
22.3. Releasing the Prosecutor From the Gravity Constraint.....	456
22.3.1. The OTP’s Duty to Investigate, Prosecute or Explain Its Action? .....	456
22.3.2. Demerits of the Gravity Constraint.....	459
22.4. Conclusion: Diversification of the Conception of Gravity .....	469
23. Making Justice Meaningful for Victims .....	471
<i>By Richard J. Dicker</i>	
23.1. Identifying and Explaining Criteria to Affected Communities ...	471
23.2. Consistent Application of the Criteria Identified: Promoting Transparency in Practice .....	473
23.3. Conclusion .....	475
24. The Danger of Selective Justice .....	477
<i>By Christopher K. Hall</i>	
24.1. Defining the Problem.....	477
24.2. The Perspective of Kant.....	478
24.3. The Implications Today for International Justice of Kant’s Views .....	479
24.4. Is This Approach ‘Realistic’?.....	480
24.5. What Resources Are Required to Bring All Those Responsible to Justice? .....	480
24.6. Innovative Techniques to Reduce the Resources Needed to Complete Proceedings.....	482
24.7. Political Will .....	484

24.8. A Note About Amnesty International’s Role.....	484
24.9. Conclusion.....	485
25. Remarks on the Characteristics of Effective Criteria for the Prioritization of Core International Crimes Cases.....	487
<i>By Mirsad Tokača</i>	
25.1. Prosecution or Court Independence v. Public Interest.....	488
25.2. Gravity, Scale, Nature of Crimes, Interests of Victims .....	489
26. Post-Conflict Criminal Justice: Practical and Policy Considerations .....	491
<i>By Vladimir Tochilovsky</i>	
Annex: Case Mapping, Selection and Prioritization of Conflict and Atrocity-Related Crimes: CMN Guidelines .....	495
<i>By Emilie Hunter and Ilia Utmelidze</i>	
1. Introduction .....	495
2. General Principles of Case Selection and Prioritization.....	497
2.1. Current Selection or Prioritization Practices Should Be Scrutinized .....	497
2.2. Mapping of Open Case-Files Should Be Carried Out to Determine the Totality of Victimization.....	497
2.3. Case Selection and Prioritization Should Be Consistent but Flexible .....	497
2.4. A Selection and Prioritization Policy Should Be Adopted.....	497
2.5. Outreach to Affected Communities Should Be Conducted .....	498
3. Mapping Open Case-Files and the Extent of Victimization .....	498
3.1. Backlogs of Existing Open Case-Files.....	498
3.2. Incident Mapping .....	499
3.3. Inventory of Open Case-Files, Complaints and Incidents .....	499
4. Adoption of a Policy on Selection and Prioritization .....	500
4.1. Analyse the Inventory of Open Case-Files .....	500
4.2. Identify Resource Gaps and Needs of the Justice System .....	500
4.3. Consultation and Monitoring .....	500
4.4. Clarity, Precision and Equal Application .....	500
4.5. Adoption of Criteria to Minimize Arbitrariness.....	501
4.6. Publication and Dissemination of the Policy .....	501
5. Model Criteria for Selection or Prioritization .....	501
5.1. Gravity: Facts and Context of the Criminal Acts .....	501

5.1.1. Seriousness of the Offence .....	502
5.1.2. Seriousness of the Responsibility of the Alleged Perpetrator .....	504
5.2. Objective Representativity: Case Representative of the Overall Victimization .....	506
5.2.1. Representative of the Overall Victimization.....	506
5.2.2. Interests of Victims and Society as a Whole.....	507
5.2.3. Fair Trial and Due Process Considerations.....	507
5.3. Policy and Practical Considerations.....	507
5.3.1. Available Investigative Resources .....	508
5.3.2. Evidence or Witness Availability; Potential Rollover Witness or Likelihood of Linkage Evidence .....	508
5.3.3. Completeness of Evidence.....	508
5.3.4. Availability of Exculpatory Information and Evidence.....	508
5.3.5. Arrest Potential .....	509
5.3.6. Available Charging Theories; and Liability Theory and Legal Framework of Each Potential Suspect .....	509
5.3.7. Potential Legal Impediments to Prosecution; and Potential Defences .....	509
5.3.8. Overall Strategic Direction .....	509
5.3.9. Impact of New Investigation on Ongoing Investigations and on Making Existing Indictments Trial Ready; and Estimated Time to Complete the Investigation .....	509
5.3.10. Chain of Command Escalation Potential: Can the Case Take the Investigation Higher in Chains of Command? .....	509
5.3.11. Extent that the Case Fits into a Larger Pattern- Type of Ongoing or Future Investigations and Prosecutions .....	510
6. CMN Services in Case Mapping, Selection and Prioritization .....	510
6.1. Database: Investigation Documentation System (I-Doc).....	510
6.2. Advisory Services: Technical Assistance, Diagnostics and Evaluation .....	510
6.3. Publications.....	511
<i>Index</i> .....	513
<i>TOAEP Team</i> .....	523
<i>Volumes in the Publication Series</i> .....	525