

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Preface by the Editors</i>	i
1. Protected Interests in International Criminal Law	1
<i>By Morten Bergsmo, Emiliano J. Buis and SONG Tianying</i>	
1.1. Scope of Inquiry	1
1.2. Community Interests and International Law	5
1.3. The Concept of Legal Interests as an Analytical Tool in International Criminal Law	11
1.4. What Are (Should Be) the Legal Interests Protected Under International Criminal Law?.....	13
1.4.1. International Peace and Security	17
1.4.2. Humanity and Collectivity.....	21
1.4.3. Solidarity, Unity and Harmony.....	27
1.5. Community Interests as Aims and Purposes of International Criminal Law: Status and Prospects	40
2. The Legal Interests Protected by War Crimes.....	57
<i>By SONG Tianying</i>	
2.1. Introduction	57
2.2. Between Internationally Prescribed Rules of Municipal Law and Crimes under International Law	58
2.2.1. Normalisation of Penal Sanctions as a Means of Protecting Interests in Humanitarian Treaties.....	59
2.2.2. <i>Ad Hoc</i> Accountability Processes and the International Criminal Court.....	67
2.3. Criminalisation Criteria and Legal Interests.....	75
2.3.1. The Ordinary Domestic Crime Analogy.....	76
2.3.2. Dichotomy of War and Peace: Protection of Legal Interests in Armed Conflict.....	79
2.3.3. Additional Interests Violated by War Crimes of Policy or Large Scale.....	82
2.4. Conclusion.....	83

3.	The Legal Good of ‘Humanity’ Protected by Crimes Against Humanity	85
	<i>By Susan R. Lamb</i>	
3.1.	Introduction	85
3.2.	The Notion of ‘Humanity’	87
3.3.	In What Sense Is ‘Humanity’ a Fundamental Interest upon Which Crimes Against Humanity Are Based?.....	88
3.3.1.	‘Humanity’ as a Means of Differentiating Crimes Against Humanity from Other International Crimes	90
3.3.2.	‘Humanity’ as a Jurisdictional Trigger.....	90
3.3.3.	Crimes Against Humanity Create Externalities Beyond Borders	91
3.3.4.	Conduct That Is Inhumane.....	91
3.3.5.	Crimes Against Humanity as Particularly Grave Crimes.....	92
3.3.6.	Crimes Against Humanity as Crimes That Harm All Humankind	93
3.3.7.	‘Humanity’ as a Normative Constraint and Guide to Future Conduct	96
3.3.8.	Crimes Against Humanity as Collective Crimes That Have Individuals at Their Core.....	96
3.3.9.	Crimes Against Humanity as a Corruption of Politics or Abuse of Sovereignty	97
3.4.	How Are These Notions of ‘Humanity’ Reflected in the Development of Crimes Against Humanity in Positive Law?....	98
3.4.1.	Nuremberg, Tokyo and Their Precursors	98
3.4.2.	The <i>Ad Hoc</i> International Criminal Tribunals	103
3.4.3.	The International Criminal Court	109
3.4.4.	Hybrid or Internationalized Courts and Tribunals	111
3.4.5.	Enumerated Offences.....	112
3.5.	Conclusion.....	114
4.	Legal Goods in International Criminal Law	117
	<i>By Ioanna N. Anastasopoulou</i>	
4.1.	The Protection of Legal Goods in Criminal Law	117
4.1.1.	<i>Rechtsgut</i> : The Dominant Theory in Germanic Systems.....	117
4.1.2.	Harm and Endangerment of a Legal Good	119
4.1.3.	Individual and Collective Legal Goods	121
4.1.4.	Abstract or Real Legal Goods?.....	123
4.1.5.	The Analytic Power of the <i>Rechtsgut</i> Concept	125

4.2.	The Importance of the Concept of Legal Goods in International Criminal Law	127
4.2.1.	The Collective Reach of the International Criminal Law	127
4.2.2.	The Violation of Internationally Recognized Personal Goods	131
4.2.3.	The International Nature of ICC Crimes	132
4.2.4.	The Theory of Legal Goods under International Criminal Law	134
4.2.5.	Global Solidarity as a Collective Legal Good Protected by International Criminal Law.....	136
5.	‘Reconciliation’ as a Philosophical Foundational Concept in International Criminal Law	139
	<i>By David Baragwanath</i>	
5.1.	Introduction	139
5.2.	Contention	143
5.3.	Approach	149
5.4.	Concepts of Reconciliation: Some Context.....	150
5.5.	Why Has Reconciliation Not Been Recognised as the Basis of International Criminal Law?	159
5.5.1.	Some Examples	160
5.5.2.	Other Opinions	162
5.6.	Reconciliation and War.....	168
5.7.	Reconciliation as a Legal Term of Art.....	176
5.8.	Reconciliation and Judicial Process	178
5.8.1.	Transitional Justice	178
5.8.2.	Reconciliation in International Criminal Law	185
5.9.	Some Reflections on how the Discipline of International Criminal Law Might Evolve.....	206
5.9.1.	Terrorism	206
5.9.2.	The Environment	209
5.9.3.	Research and the International Court of Justice	209
5.9.4.	Ultimate	211
5.10.	Conclusion as to Reconciliation and International Criminal Law	211
6.	On ‘Unity’ as an Emerging Legal Interest in International Criminal Law	225
	<i>By Salim A. Nakhjavani and Melody Mirzaagha</i>	
6.1.	Why Legal Interests Matter	225

6.2.	Identifying Emerging Legal Interests Should Be about Reading Reality, Not Magic	226
6.3.	Explicit References to ‘Unity’ in Texts Related to International Criminal Law Offer a Spectrum of Conceptions of the Term.....	227
6.4.	‘Unity’ in Which Society?	235
6.5.	‘Unity’ and Social Evolution Are Interdependent Processes: Both Depend on a Species-Consciousness	237
6.6.	‘Unity’ as a Foundational Value in Sentencing and Reparations Decisions	239
6.7.	‘Unity’ as a Foundational Value in Threshold Decisions on Aggression?	243
6.8.	What Are Some Implications of Recognising an Emerging Legal Interest of ‘Unity’ in International Criminal Law?.....	245
7.	Forging a Modern African Perspective on ‘Unity’ as a Collective Legal Interest in International Criminal Law.....	247
	<i>By Kafayat Motilewa Quadri, Vahyala Kwaga and Tosin Osasona</i>	
7.1.	Introduction	247
7.1.1.	Theoretical Framework.....	251
7.1.2.	What Are Legal Goods or Interests?.....	258
7.1.3.	Individual and Collective Legal Goods in International Criminal Law.....	260
7.2.	‘Unity’ and Collectiveness in Communitarianism.....	262
7.2.1.	Menkiti and Mbiti on Personhood and Community	264
7.2.2.	Individualism: The Movement from Personhood to Community	268
7.2.3.	Singaporean Communitarianism: The Personhood/Community Stance That Makes No Movement at All	271
7.2.4.	The Western and African Divide.....	273
7.3.	Neo-Communitarianism	274
7.4.	‘Unity’ as a Collective Legal Good for International Criminal Law	276
7.5.	Conclusion.....	281
8.	Humanity and Unity: Indian Thought and Legal Interests Protected by International Criminal Law	283
	<i>By Surabhi Sharma</i>	
8.1.	Fundamental Legal Interests.....	286

8.1.1.	Traces of the Concept of Legally-Protected Interests in International Criminal Law	287
8.2.	‘Humanity’ as a Legally-Protected Interest	288
8.3.	‘Humanity’ in Indian Philosophy	290
8.4.	‘Unity’ as a Value Protected by Indian Philosophy	294
8.4.1.	‘ <i>Aham Brahman Asmi</i> ’ – I Am Brahman.....	295
8.4.2.	The Hymn of Creation.....	297
8.4.3.	The Operation of ‘ <i>Māyā</i> ’	298
8.4.4.	The Universe and All Its Inhabitants as a Manifestation of Brahman	299
8.4.5.	Correlating with the Legal Interest Test.....	303
8.5.	Some Tentative Remarks on Advantages of Elevating ‘Unity’ to a Legally-Protected Interest from an Indian Perspective.....	304
8.6.	Within the Reparative Justice Framework.....	306
8.6.1.	Victims and the Reunification of Affected Communities.....	306
8.6.2.	The Van Boven and Bassiouni Principles.....	308
8.6.3.	Repairing Societies	309
8.7.	The Significance of International Criminal Law Recognizing Foundational Indian Notions	311
9.	Unity and Disunity in International Criminal Justice	313
	<i>By Rod Rastan</i>	
9.1.	Introduction	313
9.2.	Foundational Concepts and Fundamental Values	316
9.3.	‘Unity’ as Rationale for the Creation of the International Criminal Court.....	323
9.4.	‘Unity’ as a Rationale for the Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Materiae</i> of the ICC and Its Role in Restoring and Maintaining Social Order.....	338
9.5.	‘Unity’ as an Organising Principle for Enforcement	347
9.6.	Disunity	354
	<i>Index</i>	369
	<i>TOAEP Team</i>	379
	<i>Other Volumes in the Publication Series</i>	381