

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Editors' Foreword</i>	<i>i</i>
1. From Open Normativity to Normative Openness: Addressing the Elephant in the Room, That Is, the Fact of Justificatory Pluralism in International Criminal Justice	1
<i>By Christoph Burchard</i>	
1.1. Introduction.....	1
1.1.1. On Terminology and Methodology	2
1.2. The Open Normativity of International Criminal Justice: Observations	5
1.2.1. The Normativity of International Criminal Justice	5
1.2.2. The Open Normativity of International Criminal Justice. 7	
1.2.2.1. Open Set of Questions	7
1.2.2.2. From the Theory to the Practice of Open Normativity: Selectivity and Politicisation of International Criminal Justice	13
1.3. The Open Normativity of International Criminal Justice: An Elephant in the Room.....	14
1.3.1. Pragmatism	15
1.3.2. Seeking Normative Closure	15
1.3.3. Obfuscating Power	18
1.3.4. Relieving an Emancipated Law	19
1.4. Outlook	20
2. The Prince and the People: Sovereignty in International Criminal Law's Philosophical Foundations.....	23
<i>By Christopher B. Mahony</i>	
2.1. Introduction.....	23
2.2. Is International Justice Cascading?	25
2.3. A Philosophical Lens into the Independence of Institutional Design	27

2.3.1.	The Philosophical Role of ‘Deterrence’ in the Disposition of International Criminal Justice Institutional Design	30
2.4.	Confirmation Bias and the Philosophy of Language in Asserting Altruistic Intent of Elites to Constituents in the International Criminal Justice’s Design	34
2.4.1.	The Body-Politic of the ICC’s Design	36
2.4.2.	The Body-Politic of the International Criminal Justice System’s Function	39
2.5.	Conclusion	43
3.	Towards ‘Global’ Criminal Justice?	45
	<i>By Milinda Banerjee</i>	
3.1.	Introduction.....	45
3.2.	Understanding Pal’s ‘Global’ Criminal Justice	47
3.2.1.	Bengali Intellectual Genealogy	47
3.2.2.	Pal’s Opposition to Victors’ Justice.....	49
3.2.3.	Pal’s ‘Global’ Criminal Justice	50
3.3.	The Role of Sovereignty in ‘Global’ Criminal Justice	52
3.3.1.	Non-State Entities Possessing State-Like Powers	53
3.3.2.	Pal’s Views on Sovereignty	54
3.3.3.	Consequences of Sovereignty	56
3.4.	Anarchist Approaches to ‘Global’ Criminal Justice	57
3.5.	Law and Justice Beyond State Sovereignty	60
3.6.	Conclusion	63
4.	The Concept of International Criminal Responsibility for Individuals and the Foundational Transformation of International Law	65
	<i>By CHAO Yi</i>	
4.1.	Introduction.....	65
4.2.	The Concept of International Criminal Responsibility for Individuals as an Initiator of the Foundational Transformation of International Law	70
4.2.1.	International Criminal Responsibility for Individuals and the Changing Structure of International Law	73
4.2.1.1.	The Pluralisation of Subjects of International Law	73
4.2.1.2.	From Inter-State Law to the Law of the International Community.....	77

4.2.2.	Going Beyond Traditional Positivism	81
4.2.2.1.	International Criminal Responsibility for Individuals: A Revival of Natural Law Thinking.....	82
4.2.2.2.	Jus Cogens and International Crimes	91
4.3.	International Criminal Responsibility for ‘Individuals’: Tangled Threads of Rationales	96
4.3.1.	Who Commits International Crimes: Individual Guilt Intertwined with Collective Criminality	97
4.3.1.1.	“Men, not Abstract Entities”: More Complex than it Appears	99
4.3.1.2.	The Dimension of Collective Criminality in the Development of International Criminal Law.....	103
4.3.2.	Are There Other Forms of International Criminal Responsibility? The Relationship Between Individual and Collective Responsibility	110
4.3.2.1.	Individual Criminal Responsibility as a Form of Collective Criminal Responsibility ..	112
4.3.2.2.	Other Potential Forms of Collective Criminal Responsibility	112
4.4.	International Law in the Dilemma of Transformation: The Example of Foreign Immunity for Torture.....	115
4.4.1.	The Supreme Court of Canada’s <i>Kazemi</i> Judgment: Clash of Rationales regarding Foreign Immunity for Torture in Civil Suits	116
4.4.1.1.	The Majority Opinion.....	117
4.4.1.2.	The Dissenting Opinion.....	119
4.4.1.3.	The Clash of Philosophy and Rationales	120
4.4.2.	The Dilemma of Fragmentation: <i>Jus Cogens</i> Prohibition of Torture v. Customary International Law on Immunity	123
4.4.3.	Stuck in the Transformation: The Tension between States and the ‘International Community as a Whole’ ..	130
4.5.	Concluding Remarks	137
5.	International Criminal Responsibility as a Founding Principle of International Criminal Law.....	139
	<i>By Javier Dondé-Matute</i>	
5.1.	Introduction.....	139
5.2.	The Nuremberg Precedent	140

5.3.	Core Differences Between State Responsibility and International Criminal Responsibility	144
5.4.	International Criminal Responsibility v. Criminal Responsibility Before National Jurisdictions	147
5.5.	Group Responsibility	149
5.6.	Culpability	153
5.7.	Relationship with Other Principles of International Criminal Law	158
	5.7.1. International <i>Mens Rea</i>	158
	5.7.2. Mass Violence.....	160
5.8.	Conclusion	165
6.	Justifying International Criminal Punishment: A Critical Perspective.....	167
	<i>By Barrie Sander</i>	
6.1.	Introduction.....	167
6.2.	Retributivism.....	170
	6.2.1. The Selectivity Challenge.....	173
	6.2.2. The Adequacy Challenge.....	175
	6.2.3. The ‘Desert’ Challenge.....	177
	6.2.3.1. The Moral Intuitions Theory.....	178
	6.2.3.2. The Unfair Advantage Theory	180
6.3.	Utilitarianism	181
	6.3.1. The Probabilities Challenge.....	186
	6.3.2. The Non-Deterrability Challenge.....	189
6.4.	Expressivism	192
	6.4.1. Intrinsic Expressivism	196
	6.4.1.1. Victim-Based Expressivism	196
	6.4.1.2. The Communicative Theory	200
	6.4.2. Extrinsic Expressivism	209
	6.4.2.1. The Moral Education Theory	209
	6.4.2.2. The Gratifying Victim Hatred Theory	225
6.5.	Pluralising Post-Conflict Justice	232
	6.5.1. Criminal Justice Without Incarcerative Punishment	233
	6.5.2. From Criminal to Political and Social Justice.....	237
6.6.	Conclusion	240
7.	Impunity: A Philosophical Analysis	241
	<i>By Max Pensky</i>	
7.1.	Introduction.....	241

7.2.	Impunity as the Principal Norm of International Criminal Law	246
7.3.	Narrow and Broad Conceptions of Impunity	249
7.3.1.	The Narrow Conception of Impunity	251
7.3.2.	The Broad Conception of Impunity	257
7.4.	Sanction Accountability and Deliberation Accountability	258
7.5.	Conclusion	262
8.	Truth, Testimony, and Epistemic Injustice in International Criminal Law	269
	<i>By Shannon E. Fyfe</i>	
8.1.	Introduction	269
8.2.	Truth and Knowledge	270
8.2.1.	Knowledge	271
8.2.1.1.	Beliefs	272
8.2.1.2.	Justification	272
8.2.2.	Truth	275
8.2.2.1.	Theories of Truth	275
8.2.3.	Social Epistemology	280
8.2.3.1.	Branches of Social Epistemology and Truth	281
8.2.3.2.	Testimony	283
8.2.3.3.	Epistemic Injustice	284
8.3.	Truth and Legal Epistemology	287
8.3.1.	Issues of Criminal Law and Truth	288
8.3.1.1.	Theories of Truth and Criminal Law	288
8.3.1.2.	Adversarial v. Inquisitorial Systems and Truth	290
8.3.1.3.	Truth and Testimony as Evidence	292
8.3.1.4.	Truth and Justice in Criminal Legal Systems	293
8.4.	Truth and Epistemic Justice in International Criminal Law	296
8.4.1.	Goals of International Criminal Law	296
8.4.2.	Structure of International Criminal Procedure	298
8.4.3.	Truth and Testimony in International Criminal Law	299
8.4.3.1.	Excluded Testimony	301
8.4.3.2.	Discounted Testimony	302
8.4.4.	Epistemic Injustice in International Criminal Law	305
8.4.5.	Alternative Justice Mechanisms	307
8.5.	Conclusion	308

Index309
TOAEP Team317
Other Volumes in the Publication Series.....319